Ivan Silver Innovation Award Evaluation Form

1-poor 2-fair 3-good 4-above average 5-exceptional

1-pool 2-lail 3-good 4-above average 3-exceptional			Coptional
Criteria		Score	Comments
	ription of project is well-articulated, well ed and goals clearly stated		
• Innov	ration Planned program describes innovative CPD programming (either in content or methods or both)		
• Need o	s Assessment Extent of assessment Comprehensiveness of sources (eg, past participants input from evaluations, input from representatives from target audience, published gaps in literature, new information published relevant to topic and audience) Methods (e.g. past evaluations, surveys, informal input sought, focus groups, literature searches)		
• Evalu	what methods were used to evaluate course and presenters? Quantitative, opportunities for qualitative feedback through comments, ratings for lecturers as well as sessions, ability to evaluate if session met stated objectives as well as if it met the personal participant objectives		
• Evalu	lation Feedback Numeric data Comments		
objec o	Data documenting learning outcomes were achieved (e.g. Test scores, participant rating of personal achievements in course, scores showing change in attitudes, knowledge or behaviours, participant ratings of likelihood of change)		
Overall Score	scores showing change in attitudes, knowledge or behaviours, participant		